



# C

In re Application of: )  
)  
Ajit RAJASEKHARAN, et al. ) Group Art Unit: To Be Assigned  
)  
Application Number: 10/035,952 ) Examiner: To Be Assigned  
)  
Filed: December 26, 2001 )  
  
For: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR AUTHORIZING AND PROVIDING INFORMATION  
RELEVANT TO A PHYSICAL WORLD

RECEIVED

JUN 18 2002

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

**PETITION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.182 FOR APPOINTMENT OF  
A POWER OF ATTORNEY BY LESS THAN ALL APPLICANTS**

**Attention: Office of Petitions**  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Mr. Ajit Rajasekharan ("Applicant") petitions the Commissioner under 37 C.F.R. § 1.182 to accept Applicant's appointment of representation included in the Declaration and Power of Attorney document concurrently submitted herewith in the above-captioned patent application. Particularly, Applicant requests that the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office (PTO) accept Applicant's power of attorney, which is signed by only one of the two applicants of the present application for the reasons provided below.

Applicant submits that his rights to the claimed subject matter of the present application are better served by the appointment of representatives other than those appointed by joint applicant, Ms. Rozsa Kovesdi. Particularly, Applicant desires his own representation to prosecute the present application on his behalf and to formally join the prosecution under the provisions of MPEP § 402.10. Because Applicant maintains that he is the sole inventor of a substantial number of the presented claims including all independent claims<sup>1</sup>, allowing Ms. Kovesdi's appointed representative(s) to prosecute the application on the behalf of both Applicants will misrepresent and possibly irreparably harm Mr. Rajasekharan's exclusive rights to the claimed subject matter solely conceived by him.

<sup>1</sup> A portion of the solely conceived claims are being pursued in a copending U.S. utility patent application naming Applicant as the sole inventor.

Acceptance of Applicant's power of attorney will result in two parties prosecuting the present application at the same time. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the Commissioner require both parties to sign all subsequent replies submitted to the PTO in accordance with MPEP § 402.10. Applicant contends that this requirement will encourage the parties to work out a jointly submitted Amendment that properly limits the claims presented in this application to those jointly conceived by Mr. Rajasekharan and Ms. Kovesdi, thereby, avoiding waste of PTO time and resources.

Check No. 1931 in the amount of \$130.00 is enclosed to cover the petition fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h). In the event that any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the amount determined by the PTO to consider the present Petition, the Commissioner of Patents is hereby authorized to charge or credit such variance to the undersigned's **Deposit Account No. 50-1640**.

Respectfully submitted,


BROBECK, PHLEGER & HARRISON LLP

June 4, 2002

Brobeck, Phleger & Harrison LLP  
Intellectual Property Department  
1333 H Street, N.W., Suite 800  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
Tel: (202) 220-6000  
Fax: (202) 220-5200

TC:cdh

By:

  
Trevor Coddington, Patent Agent  
Registration No. 46,633